

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

ELENA DEL CAMPO, ET AL.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DON MEALING, ET AL.,

Defendants.

Case No.: C 01-21151 JW (PVT)

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION FOR REASONABLE  
ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES**

**[Docket No. 1026]**

Plaintiffs move for an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses. Defendants did not oppose, or otherwise respond, to the motion. Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 7-1(b), the motion is taken under submission and the hearing scheduled to be held on December 7, 2010 is vacated. Having reviewed the papers and considered the arguments of counsel,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiffs' motion is denied.<sup>1</sup>

Plaintiffs move for an award of attorneys' fees and expenses on the grounds that defendants' motion to compel further discovery responses was not substantially justified. Mot. at 2. On November 9, 2010, defendants' motion to compel was granted in part and denied in part. ("November 9, 2010 Order"). In sum, the court granted defendant Mealing's request to propound

---


<sup>1</sup> The holding of this court is limited to the facts and particular circumstances underlying the present motion.

1 additional interrogatories and ordered plaintiffs to supplement certain interrogatory responses and  
2 requests for production of documents. November 9, 2010 Order at 5.

3 Here, the court finds that an award of attorneys' fees and expenses is not warranted.  
4 Accordingly, plaintiffs' motion is denied.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 Dated: November 24, 2010

7   
8 PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL  
9 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28